

GRETCHEN WHITMER
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS LANSING

ORLENE HAWKS DIRECTOR

May 27, 2021

Stephanie Hildebrant Cliffside Company 910 S. Washington Ave Royal Oak, MI 48067

> RE: License #: AL110087629 Investigation #: 2021A0579020

> > Caretel Inns Of Royalton - Bristol

Dear Stephanie Hildebrant:

Attached is the Special Investigation Report for the above referenced facility. Due to the violations identified in the report, a written corrective action plan is required. The corrective action plan is due 15 days from the date of this letter and must include the following:

- How compliance with each rule will be achieved.
- Who is directly responsible for implementing the corrective action for each violation.
- Specific time frames for each violation as to when the correction will be completed or implemented.
- Indicate how continuing compliance will be maintained once compliance is achieved.
- Be signed and dated.

A six-month provisional license is recommended. If you do not contest the issuance of a provisional license, you must indicate so in writing; this may be included in your corrective action plan or in a separate document. If you contest the issuance of a provisional license, you must notify this office in writing and an administrative hearing will be scheduled. Even if you contest the issuance of a provisional license, you must still submit an acceptable corrective action plan.

If you desire technical assistance in addressing these issues, please contact me. In any event, the corrective action plan is due within 15 days.

Please review the enclosed documentation for accuracy and contact me with any questions. In the event that I am not available and you need to speak to someone immediately, please contact the local office at (616) 356-0183.

Sincerely,

Cassandra Duursma, Licensing Consultant Bureau of Community and Health Systems

427 East Alcott Kalamazoo, MI 49001 (269) 615-5050

enclosure

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS BUREAU OF COMMUNITY AND HEALTH SYSTEMS SPECIAL INVESTIGATION REPORT

I. IDENTIFYING INFORMATION

License #:	AL110087629
Investigation #:	2021A0579020
Complaint Receipt Date:	03/12/2021
Investigation Initiation Date:	03/12/2021
investigation initiation bate.	00/12/2021
Report Due Date:	05/11/2021
Licensee Name:	Cliffoido Company
Licensee name.	Cliffside Company
Licensee Address:	910 S. Washington Av
	Royal Oak, MI 48067
Licensee Telephone #:	(248) 330-9598
	(2.10) 888 8888
Administrator:	Stephanie Hildebrant
Licensee Designee:	Stephanie Hildebrant
Electrisce Designee.	Otophanie i nidebrant
Name of Facility:	Caretel Inns of Royalton - Bristol
Facility Address:	3905 Lorraine Path
Facility Address.	Saint Joseph, MI 49085
Facility Telephone #:	(248) 330-9598
Original Issuance Date:	11/03/2000
License Status:	REGULAR
Effective Date:	05/06/2020
Expiration Date:	05/05/2022
Capacity:	20
oupdoity.	20
Program Type:	AGED

II. ALLEGATION(S)

Violation Established?

The facility is not sufficiently staffed.	Yes
Additional Findings	Yes

III. METHODOLOGY

03/12/2021	Special Investigation Intake 2021A0579020
03/12/2021	Special Investigation Initiated - Letter Stephanie Hildebrant, Licensee Designee
03/12/2021	Contact- Document Received Email received from Stephanie Hildebrant, Licensee Designee, with staff contact information.
03/16/2021	Contact- Document Sent Email sent to Stephanie Hildebrant, Licensee Designee, discussing coordinating for an on-site investigation.
03/20/2021	Contact- Document Received Text message from Direct Care Worker J
03/22/2021	Contact- Document Received Email response received from Stephanie Hildebrant, Licensee Designee, agreeing to coordinate for an on-site investigation.
03/22/2021	Contact- Document Sent Email sent to Stephanie Hildebrant, Licensee Designee, discussing coordinating for an on-site investigation.
03/23/2021	Contact- Document Sent Email sent to Stephanie Hildebrant, Licensee Designee, discussing coordinating for an on-site investigation.
03/31/2021	Contact- Document Received Email received from Stephanie Hildebrant, Licensee Designee, discussing coordinating for an on-site investigation.
04/08/2021	Contact- Document Sent Email sent to Stephanie Hildebrant, Licensee Designee, discussing coordinating for an on-site investigation.
04/09/2021	Contact- Telephone call made

	Direct Care Worker A
04/09/2021	Contact- Telephone call made Direct Care Worker B
04/09/2021	Contact- Telephone call made Direct Care Worker E
04/09/2021	Contact- Telephone call made Direct Care Worker F
04/09/2021	Contact- Telephone call made Direct Care Worker G
04/09/2021	Contact- Telephone call made Direct Care Worker C
04/09/2021	Contact- Telephone call made Direct Care Worker H
04/09/2021	Contact- Telephone call made Direct Care Worker I
04/09/2021	Contact- Telephone call made Direct Care Worker D
04/09/2021	Contact- Document Received Email response received from Stephanie Hildebrant, Licensee Designee, agreeing to be present for the on-site investigation 04/12/2021.
04/12/2021	Contact- Face to Face Stephanie Hildebrant (Licensee Designee), Candice Bearden (Direct Care Worker), Megan Aukerman (Licensing Consultant), Direct Care Worker V, Direct Care Worker W, and Resident A, B, and C.
04/14/2021	Contact- Document Sent Email sent to Stephanie Hildebrant, Licensee Designee, inquiring about staff clock-ins.
04/15/2021	Contact- Document Received Email response from Ms. Hildebrant reporting it would take additional time to receive staff clock-ins.
04/30/2021	Contact- Document Sent

	Email sent to Stephanie Hildebrant, Licensee Designee, inquiring about staff clock-ins.
05/03/2021	Contact- Document Received Email received from Stephanie Hildebrant, Licensee Designee, summarizing her review of staff clock-ins.
05/06/2021	Contact- Telephone call made Direct Care Worker O
05/06/2021	Contact- Telephone call made Direct Care Worker P
05/26/2021	Exit Conference Stephanie Hildebrant, Licensee Designee

ALLEGATION: The facility is not sufficiently staffed.

INVESTIGATION: On 03/12/2021, I entered this referral into the Bureau of Community Health System Bureau Information Tracking System after completing an on-site investigation at a connected facility where staff addressed concerns regarding insufficient staffing.

On 03/12/2021, I exchanged emails with Ms. Hildebrant obtaining the contact information for all Direct Care Workers.

On 04/09/2021, I completed a telephone interview with Direct Care Worker B. DCW B reported at times, when the facility is short-staffed during the day, and typically on third shift, there is only one DCW at Bristol to care for residents.

On 04/09/2021, I completed a telephone interview with Direct Care Worker C, who stated she typically works from 11:00 PM to 7:00 AM at Bristol. She stated she works alone, unless there is a "float" staff, who comes to the facility as needed to assist her. She stated it is challenging to get all the residents up from bed when working by herself. She stated she is aware that on one occasion in March 2021, residents were left alone at Bristol from 3:00 AM to 7:00 AM. She stated no one arrived for their shift that evening and someone stayed to cover the shift but had to leave by 3:00 AM. She stated she is not certain of the date, as she was not working when it occurred, but she learned from other third shift staff that this occurred "a few weeks ago."

On 04/09/2021, I completed a telephone interview with Direct Care Worker D who reported she has worked alone at Bristol during various shifts, and it is difficult to complete all her job duties when working by herself.

On 04/09/2021, I completed a telephone interview with Direct Care Worker H who reported staff commonly work alone at Bristol during third shift and that it is challenging to provide adequate care to residents when working alone because some residents require the assistance of two DCW.

On 04/09/2021, I completed a telephone interview with Direct Care Worker E who stated she primarily works second shift and has worked at this facility. She stated she has not worked alone on second shift, but other workers do work alone on second shift at this facility.

On 04/09/2021, I completed a telephone interview with Direct Care Worker I who reported she has worked alone at this facility on third shift. She stated it is challenging to appropriately toilet and transfer residents because multiple residents need the assistance of two DCW.

On 04/09/2021, I completed a telephone interview with Direct Care Worker G, who stated she works at Bristol "all of the time." She stated she primarily works first shift. She stated at times, she will be the only person who arrives for her shift at Bristol. She stated that she is friends with her coworkers so if she is alone, she can call someone who is not working, and someone will generally come in to assist her on first shift, so she does not work alone and can provide adequate care to residents.

On 04/12/2021, I completed an on-site investigation with the assistance of Licensing Consultant, Ms. Aukerman. Interviews were completed with Ms. Hildebrant, Ms. Bearden, Direct Care Worker V, Direct Care Worker W, and Residents A, B, and C.

Resident A was interviewed privately. Resident A gave the example that she feels the staff could do a better job with cleaning her bathroom but denied having any other concerns.

Resident B was interviewed privately. Resident B stated he has resided at the facility for the past few years. Resident B stated staff always respond promptly when he needs assistance.

Resident C was interviewed privately. Resident C stated she enjoys residing at the facility and denied having any concerns. Resident C stated the facility staff always meet her care needs. She stated they respond promptly when she requires assistance.

Direct Care Worker (DCW) V was interviewed privately and stated she feels the facility is sufficiently staffed to meet all resident care needs on first shift.

Direct Care Worker (DCW) W was interviewed privately. She stated she feels the facility is staffed adequately to meet all resident care needs on first shift.

While on-site I reviewed the written assessment plans for Resident A, B, C, D, E, F, H, I, J, L, M and N. Resident B, G, I and J did not have written assessment plans completed. Resident A, C, F, and N were listed as transferring and ambulating independently. Resident E, K and M were listed as needing "limited" assistance from one DCW with transferring and/or ambulating. Resident D and H were listed as needing "extensive" assistance from two DCW with transferring and/or ambulating.

I also reviewed written schedules from 03/24/2021, 03/31/2021, and 04/09/2021. The schedules documented that there were two DCW on 03/24/2021, 03/31/2021, and 04/09/2021 from 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM. There were two DCW from 3:00 PM to 11:00 PM on 03/24/2021 and 03/31/2021 and three DCW from 3:00 PM to 11:00 PM on 04/09/2021. There was one DCW on 03/24/2021, 03/31/2021, and 04/09/2021 from 11:00 PM to 7:00 AM. The schedule also listed a section for a "Float" staff, the position was not filled on 03/24/2021, 03/31/2021, and 04/09/2021.

I asked Ms. Bearden about residents being left without a Direct Care Worker (DCW) from 3:00 AM to 7:00 AM in March 2021. She stated she did not know the specific date, but residents were left unattended from 3:00 AM to 7:00 AM on one occasion in March 2021. She stated the third shift staff did not arrive for their shift and the person covering the shift could only stay until 3:00 AM. She stated the fact that the residents were left without staff was not brought to her attention until after it had occurred. She stated no one notified her that the assigned DCW did not arrive for their shift or she would have ensured someone was there for the entire shift and that residents were not left unattended.

APPLICABLE R	APPLICABLE RULE	
R 400.15206	Staffing requirements.	
	(2) A licensee shall have sufficient direct care staff on duty at all times for the supervision, personal care, and protection of residents and to provide the services specified in the resident's resident care agreement and assessment plan.	
ANALYSIS:	The written assessment plan for Resident D and H documented that they require assistance from two Direct Care Workers (DCW) with transferring and/or ambulating. The schedule for 03/24/2021, 03/31/2021, and 04/09/2021 noted there was one DCW from 11:00 PM to 7:00 AM each day.	
	Direct Care Workers (DCW) B, C, D, E, G, H, and I reported that staff work alone at Bristol at various times. DCW C reported on one unknown date in March 2021, residents were left without staff from 3:00 AM to 7:00 AM. Ms. Bearden confirmed residents were left without staff from 3:00 AM to 7:00 AM on one occasion in March 2021.	

	Due to the findings summarized above there is sufficient evidence to indicate the facility is not sufficiently staffed to provide supervision, personal care, and protection of residents and to provide the services specified in the residents' assessment plans when only one staff is working in the facility.
CONCLUSION:	VIOLATION ESTABLISHED

ADDITIONAL FINDING:

On 04/09/2021, DCW C stated she works from 11:00 PM to 7:00 AM and is primarily at Bristol. She stated she typically works alone, unless there is a "Float" staff, who comes to the facility as needed to assist her.

On 04/09/2021, DCW D reported she has worked alone at this facility during various shifts.

On 04/09/2021, DCW H reported staff commonly work alone at this facility and some residents require the assistance of two DCWs.

On 04/09/2021, I completed a telephone interview with DCW I who reported she has worked alone at this facility on third shift. She stated multiple residents require the assistance of two DCWs.

On 04/12/2021, DCW V stated she has not witnessed any specific concerns. She stated she feels residents are changed and toileted timely.

On 04/12/2021, DCW W stated she only works first shift at Bristol and she has a great team who all work together to ensure all the resident care needs are met.

Resident A stated she has lived in the facility for many years and staff always take good care of her. Resident A stated her roommate is confined to a wheelchair and staff always take good care of her as well.

Resident B stated he has resided at the facility for the past few years and denied having any concerns regarding the facility. He stated the staff take excellent care of all the residents. Resident B stated staff always respond promptly when he needs assistance.

Resident C stated she enjoys residing at the facility and denied having any concerns. Resident C stated the facility staff always meet her care needs. She stated they respond promptly when she requires assistance.

While on-site, I reviewed the written assessment plan for Resident A, B, C, D, E, F, H, I, J, L, M, and N. Resident B, G, I, and J did not have written assessment plans

completed. Resident A, C, F, and N were listed as transferring and ambulating independently. Resident E, K, and M were listed as requiring "limited" assistance from one DCW with transferring and/or ambulating. Resident D and H were listed as requiring "extensive" assistance from two DCW with transferring and/or ambulating.

I also reviewed written schedules from 03/24/2021, 03/31/2021, and 04/09/2021. The schedules noted there were two DCW on 03/24/2021, 03/31/2021, and 04/09/2021 from 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM. There were two DCW from 3:00 PM to 11:00 PM on 03/24/2021 and 03/31/2021 and three DCW from 3:00 PM to 11:00 PM on 04/09/2021. There was one DCW on 03/24/2021, 03/31/2021, and 04/09/2021 from 11:00 PM to 7:00 AM. The schedule also listed a section for a "Float" staff, the position was not filled on 03/24/2021, 03/31/2021, and 04/09/2021.

On 05/06/2021, I received a text message from DCW P who stated she primarily works third shift and has observed residents left in urine and/or feces soiled briefs at Bristol home because staff did not toilet or transfer residents properly during their shift.

APPLICABLE R	APPLICABLE RULE	
R 400.15303	Resident care; licensee responsibilities.	
	(2) A licensee shall provide supervision, protection, and personal care as defined in the act and as specified in the resident's written assessment plan.	
ANALYSIS:	The written assessment plan for Resident D and H noted they require assistance from two Direct Care Workers with transferring and/or ambulating. The schedule for 03/24/2021, 03/31/2021, and 04/09/2021 noted there was one DCW assigned to the facility from 11:00 PM to 7:00 AM each day. Direct Care Workers B, C, D, E, G, H, and I reported that staff work alone at Bristol at various times. Direct Care Worker H reported some residents require the assistance of two DCWs.	
	Direct Care Worker stated multiple residents require the assistance of two DCWs.	
	DCW P stated she has observed residents left in urine and/or feces soiled briefs because staff did not toilet or transfer residents properly.	
	Based on the interviews completed and documentation observed, there is a preponderance of evidence to indicate that residents did not receive sufficient supervision, protection, and	

	personal care as defined in the act and as specified in the resident's written assessment plan.
CONCLUSION:	VIOLATION ESTABLISHED

ADDITIONAL FINDING:

On 03/20/2021, DCW J sent me a text message stating DCW Z is 'trying to fill [staff] sign in sheets with people they know are not going to show up and are not even in town just so [Licensing] think[s] that [the home] is fully staffed.' DCW Z is a Direct Care Worker with the title of Facility Director, who oversees all the facilities at this address.

On 04/09/2021, DCW A stated employee schedules are intentionally falsified by DCW Z to make it appear as if there are sufficient staff on duty although the facility is "severely short-staffed." She stated she knows the schedules are intentionally falsified because on multiple occasions staff names have been placed on the schedule even though it is a shift they do not work. She stated staff are not notified they were placed on the schedule and therefore they do not show up to work. She stated there were multiple occasions where she had to call someone whose name was on the schedule, only to find out they would not be coming in because they did not know they were on the schedule and no one had contacted them. She stated staff who are known to have ended their employment will continue to be written on the schedule as well. She stated it is well known the employee has quit but their name will continue to be written down as if they were working. She stated any written or printed schedule would not be accurate. She stated the only accurate way to confirm who worked, would be to review staff clock-ins because anything managed by DCW Z would be falsified.

On 04/09/2021, DCW B stated staff schedules are intentionally falsified by DCW Z. She gave the example of DCW Q, whose name has been written on the schedule during weekdays to make it appear the schedule is full. She stated DCW Q only works two weekends a month and is not notified when she is placed on the schedule outside of those two weekends, so she does not work at the facility although her name is written on the schedule. She stated people who are no longer employed by the facility are written on the schedule as well.

On 04/09/2021, DCW H reported staff schedules are intentionally falsified. She stated names of employees are just written down, even if they are not notified that they were placed on the schedule or if they have ended their employment. She stated she knows this because it happened to her on one occasion. She stated she is "contingent" which means she only works two weekends a month. She stated one weekday, she was contacted by someone inquiring why she was not present for her shift. She stated her name was on the schedule, but she was not informed by anyone that she was assigned to work. She stated she has another job and was working that job. She stated she believes she was written in as a "no call, no show" but it is unfair

because she was not notified that she was scheduled, nor is that a schedule she typically works.

On 04/09/2021, DCW C stated she has witnessed staff schedules being intentionally falsified by DCW Z. She stated that employee names are frequently just written down without notifying the employee or with knowledge that the employee no longer works at the facility. She stated although there is a name on the schedule, the person does not show up. She stated this happens "all the time."

On 04/09/2021, DCW I reported staff schedules are intentionally falsified. She stated "names are just put down" to make it appear there is sufficient staffing. She stated names of people who do not typically work that shift and are not notified that they were scheduled, are written in. She stated names of people who are known to have quit also continue to be written in to make the facility appear fully staffed.

On 04/09/2021, DCW F stated staff schedules are intentionally falsified by DCW Z. She stated names are written down for shifts or days employees typically do not work. She stated the written and printed staff schedules do not accurately reflect who is present for the shift.

On 04/09/2021, DCW G stated the staff schedule is not accurate. She stated often, names are written down and employees are unaware they are expected to be working because they were not notified. She stated this is done intentionally to make it appear the facility sufficiently staffed.

On 04/12/2021, I reviewed written schedules from 03/24/2021, 03/31/2021, and 04/09/2021. The schedules appeared to note schedule changes, the hours worked, the names of staff on duty, the job titles of staff on duty, and the date and hours worked.

On 04/12/2021, Ms. Hildebrant stated she was not aware of the allegation that staff schedules were being falsified. I reported that I had sufficient evidence to support that staff schedules were intentionally being falsified. She stated that she would review the record of employee clock-ins and provide that information to me.

On 04/14/2021, I inquired of Ms. Hildebrant via email if the record of employee clockins was available for my review. Ms. Hildebrant responded that it would take additional time for her to receive and review the employee clock-in record.

On 04/30/2021, I inquired again via email to Ms. Hildebrant if employee clock-in records were available for my review.

On 05/03/2021, Ms. Hildebrant responded to my email from 04/30/2021, that she had gone through timecards and realized there were primarily concerns with adequate staffing on the midnight shift. She stated they have started having management supervision on the midnight shift. She stated the evening supervision has been

replaced and staff with problematic behavior were released from duty. Ms. Hildebrant did not include any mention of providing me with the employee "clock-in" record in her 05/03/2021 email.

APPLICABLE RULE	
R 400.15208	Direct care staff and employee records.
	(3) A licensee shall maintain a daily schedule of advance work assignments, which shall be kept for 90 days. The schedule shall include all of the following information: (a) Names of all staff on duty and those volunteers who are under the direction of the licensee. (c) Hours or shifts worked. (e) Any scheduling changes.
ANALYSIS:	I reviewed written schedules from 03/24/2021, 03/31/2021, and 04/09/2021. The schedules appeared to note schedule changes, the hours worked, the names of staff on duty, the job titles of staff on duty, and the date and hours worked.
	DCW A, DCW B, DCW H, DCW C, DCW I, DCW F and DCW G all reported staff schedules are falsified to make the facility appear sufficiently staff. Each reported that the names of individuals who do not typically work certain shifts, certain days, or have knowingly ended their employment continue to be written down on the schedule without informing the individual they are scheduled.
	I asked Ms. Hildebrant multiple times to provide employee clock- in forms. Ms. Hildebrant did not provide those forms and instead summarized her findings from her own review of the clock-in forms noting there were primarily concerns with the staffing on third shift.
	Based on the interviews of staff who reported schedules are falsified, there is sufficient evidence to indicate that staff schedules do not accurately include the names of all staff on duty, hours and shifts worked, and any scheduling changes.
CONCLUSION:	VIOLATION ESTABLISHED

ADDITIONAL FINDING:

On 03/20/2021, I received a text message from Direct Care Worker J that stated, '[DCW Z] is telling us [Licensing] are not our friend and we need to tell you guys that we never work alone.' She also stated, 'DCW Z is trying to fill [staff] sign in sheets

with people they know are not going to show up and are not even in town just so [Licensing] think[s] that [the home] is fully staffed.'

On 04/08/2021, I exchanged emails with Ms. Hildebrant regarding the coordination of an on-site investigation. I requested DCW Z not participate in the scheduled on-site investigations as I had concerns regarding her being intentionally deceitful and obstructive with the investigative process after completing an on-site investigation for a facility DCW Z also oversees on 03/09/2021.

On 04/09/2021, DCW B denied that DCW Z recently told her to give false information to licensing. She stated, however, that the staff schedules are intentionally falsified by DCW Z.

On 04/09/2021, DCW A stated DCW Z "lies about everything" and "falsifies paperwork to cover up concerns" within the facility. She stated the staff schedule is falsified because names of employees who do not work a certain shift, a certain day, or have knowingly ended their employment will continue to be placed on the schedule without notifying the scheduled individual. She stated the written schedule does not accurately note who worked, rather it makes it appear the facility is sufficiently staffed when it is not.

On 04/09/2021, DCW H reported staff schedules are intentionally falsified and she witnessed it firsthand when her name was put down for a day she does not work. She stated she found this out when staff called and asked why she was not at work. She stated the staff schedules are approved by DCW Z.

On 04/09/2021, DCW C stated she has witnessed staff schedules being intentionally falsified by DCW Z. She stated that employee names "are frequently just written down" without notifying the employee or with knowledge that the employee no longer works at the facility.

On 04/09/2021, DCW I reported staff schedules are intentionally falsified. She stated "names are just put down" to make it appear there is sufficient staffing and DCW Z is aware.

On 04/09/2021, DCW F stated staff schedules are intentionally falsified by DCW Z. She stated names are intentionally written down for people on shifts or days employees typically do not work without notifying the employee to make it appear the facility is adequately staffed. She stated when she and other staff members bring concerns to DCW Z, DCW Z does not address them, rather DCW Z "covers everything up."

On 04/09/2021, DCW G stated often, names are written down and employees are unaware they are expected to be working because they were not notified. She stated this is done intentionally to make the schedule appear sufficiently staffed and DCW Z is aware.

On 04/20/2021, DCW B inquired why I had completed my investigation without any changes being made within the facility and implored me to investigate further. I inquired what she meant and reported that my investigation was not completed. She stated DCW Z held a "threatening meeting" on 04/19/2021, stating she "was aware of what staff had said" and that "all concerns have been addressed" and "licensing said everything was fine." DCW B was advised that was not accurate and that my investigation was ongoing.

APPLICABLE RULE	
R 400.15206	Staffing requirements.
	(10) All members of the household, employees, and those volunteers who are under the direction of the licensee shall be suitable to assure the welfare of residents.
ANALYSIS:	DCW J reported DCW Z advised staff not to cooperate with licensing.
	DCW B reported DCW Z held a "threatening meeting" on 04/19/2021 where she told staff she was "was aware of what staff had said" and that "licensing said everything was fine."
	DCW A noted DCW Z "lies about everything."
	DCW B stated DCW Z "lies all of the time."
	DCW F stated DCW Z "covers everything up."
	DCW J, DCW A, DCW B, DCW F, DCW H, DCW C, DCW G, and DCW I reported staff schedules are intentionally falsified with DCW Z's knowledge.
	Based on the interviews completed, there is sufficient evidence to indicate that not all employees who are under the direction of the licensee, primarily DCW Z, are suitable to assure the welfare of residents.
CONCLUSION:	VIOLATION ESTABLISHED

ADDITIONAL FINDING:

On 04/12/2021, I observed the assessment plan for each resident at Bristol Home. Resident B moved into the facility on 03/11/2021 and did not have a written assessment plan completed. Resident G moved into the facility on 03/29/2021 and did not have a written assessment plan completed. Resident I moved into the facility

on 04/01/2021 and did not have a written assessment plan completed. Resident J moved into the facility on 01/21/2021 and did not have a written assessment plan completed.

APPLICABLE RU	LE
R 400.15301	Resident admission criteria; resident assessment plan; emergency admission; resident care agreement; physician's instructions; health care appraisal.
	 (2) A licensee shall not accept or retain a resident for care unless and until the licensee has completed a written assessment of the resident and determined that the resident is suitable pursuant to all of the following provisions: (a) The amount of personal care, supervision, and protection that is required by the resident is available in the home. (b) The kinds of services, skills, and physical accommodations that are required of the home to meet the resident's needs are available in the home. (c) The resident appears to be compatible with other residents and members of the household. (4) At the time of admission, and at least annually, a written assessment plan shall be completed with the resident or the resident's designated representative, the responsible agency, if applicable, and the licensee. A licensee shall maintain a copy of the resident's written assessment plan on file in the home.
ANALYSIS:	Resident B, G, I and J were observed to not have written assessment plans on file. Based on my review of documentation, Resident B, G, I and J were accepted for care without written determination that they were suitable for the personal care, supervision, and personal care available, nor that the services, skills, and physical accommodations required were available, nor that residents are compatible with other residents. Additionally, a written assessment plan was not completed at the time of the resident
CONCLUSION:	admission. VIOLATION ESTABLISHED

05/26/2021, I completed an exit conference with Licensee Designee, Stephanie Hildebrant, who reported she disputes my findings pending further review of the written report.

IV. RECOMMENDATION

Contingent upon an acceptable plan of corrective action, I recommend the status of the license be modified to a provisional.

Cassardia Dunsoma	05/26/2021
Cassandra Duursma Licensing Consultant	Date
Approved By:	
0 0	05/27/2021
Jerry Hendrick Area Manager	Date